Performance-based Planning and Programming

• Key role for planning and programming to influence more performance-based decision-making
• FHWA, FTA, AASHTO, APTA, AMPO, NARC and NADO working informally to:
  – Define key elements of performance-based planning/prog.
  – Identify examples of good practice
  – Engage with stakeholders and identify key challenges and opportunities for capacity building
About the Guidebook

Designed as a practical resource to help State DOTs, MPOs, and transit agencies understand

- What the key elements of a PBPP process are, and
- How they fit within existing planning and programming.

Context:

- Expands upon existing resources
  - White paper, past peer exchanges, resource documents
- Highlights examples of effective practices
  - State DOTs, MPO, and transit agencies
  - LRTP, TIP / STIP, and planning process elements (e.g., SHSPs, CMP, Asset Management Plans, etc.)

http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/pbp/
Additional Background

• Guidebook was developed between June 2012 and August 2013

• A stakeholder committee of practitioners from state DOTs, MPOs, transit agencies, and national associations guided the development and provided significant input

• The Guidebook is not intended to provide guidance regarding the implementation of MAP-21; rather, it is meant to showcase effective practices and provide useful information to agencies on how to use performance information to guide decision-making
What is Performance-based Planning and Programming (PBPP)?

- PBPP refers to the application of performance management within the planning and programming process to achieve desired performance outcomes for the multimodal transportation system.
- Includes a range of activities and products.
  - Development of long range transportation plans (LRTPs)
  - Federally-required plans and processes -- such as Strategic Highway Safety Plans (SHSPs), Asset Management Plans, the Congestion Management Process (CMP), Transit Agency Asset Management Plans, and Transit Agency Safety Plans
  - Other plans
  - Programming documents, including State and metropolitan Transportation Improvement Programs (STIPs and TIPs)
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1. Purpose and Overview

• Motivation for PBPP includes: Limited funding, a need to strategically focus investments, and heightened demand for transparency and public sector accountability.

• The Guidebook is designed to help transportation agencies understand:
  • The key elements of a PBPP process
  • The relationship of these elements within existing planning and programming processes; and
  • Examples of best practices to help support implementation.
1. Purpose and Overview (ctd.)

- **PBPP builds on existing practices**
  - Concept of “performance management”, which is a strategic approach that uses data to support decisions that help to achieve performance goals.
  - Transportation asset management (TAM), a strategic and systematic resource allocation process based on quality information and well-defined objectives.
  - Existing performance-based processes, such as the SHSP and CMP
  - Requirements under MAP-21

- **Performance management** can be applied to many aspects of an agency’s activities, including planning, operations, and maintenance.
- **PBPP** involves integrating performance management concepts into the existing federally-required transportation planning and programming processes.
2. Overview of PBPP: Key Concepts

• Reasons to use a performance-based approach:
  • Improved investment decision-making
  • Improved return on investments and resource allocation
  • Improved system performance
  • Increased accountability and transparency
  • Demonstrates link between funding and performance

• Key terminology

• Common Themes within a PBPP Process:
  • Cooperation and coordination
  • Linkages across performance-based planning activities
  • Public and stakeholder involvement
  • Data and tools
  • Feedback mechanisms
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PERFORMANCE-BASED PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING
3. Develop Goals and Objectives

- **Goal =** Broad statement that describes a desired end state

- **Questions to consider in formulating goals**
  - What do we want our area to look like?
  - What do we want to achieve?

- **Goals may address:**
  - Planning factors
  - National goal areas under MAP-21
  - Other issues of importance to a community

- **Consider goals broadly:**
  - Societal goals (e.g., economic vitality, mobility, environment, sustainability)
  - Transportation goals (e.g., infrastructure preservation, operations, safety)
3. Develop Goals and Objectives (ctd.)

- **Objective** = specific, measurable statement that supports achievement of a goal
  - Example: Reduce pedestrian fatalities (by 10 percent by 2025)
  - Types of objectives:
    - Outcome: reflects concerns of the public (e.g., incident-based delay)
    - Output: reflects actions that affect outcomes (e.g., clearance time of incidents)
    - Activity: reflects actions taken by transportation agencies (e.g., number of cameras tracking system conditions)

- It is critical to involve the public in developing goals and objectives as a strategic foundation for a performance-based approach to decision-making
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4. Select Performance Measures

- Performance measures serve five critical purposes:
  - Clarify the definition of goals
  - Monitor or track performance over time
  - Serve as a reference for target-setting
  - Serve as a basis for supporting policy and investment decisions by comparing alternative options
  - Assess the effectiveness of projects and strategies

- Factors to consider in selecting measures:
  - Does it represent a key concern?
  - Is it clear?
  - Are data available?
  - Can it be forecasted?
  - Is the measure something the agency can influence through investment?
  - Is the measure meaningful for the types of services or area?
  - Is improvement direction clear?

- Build on public concerns in selecting measures.
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5. Identify Trends and Targets

• Desired trends and numerical targets – types include:
  • Directional (desired trends)
  • Aspirational
  • Realistic

• Time frames for target-setting and planning analysis
  • Long range
  • Mid range
  • Short range

• Process for setting targets
  • Analyze baseline data and develop assumptions
  • Consider multiple factors (Financial resources, external factors, policy considerations)
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6. Identify Strategies and Analyze Alternatives

Common themes:
- Consider full range of strategy options (including near-term, cost-effective strategies, operations improvements, land use strategies, etc.)
- Find ways to analyze non-capacity increasing strategies despite common model limitations
- Use scenario planning and analysis to compare packages of investments and strategies

Use data and analysis tools to inform potential options:
1. Historical data
2. Forecasting tools
3. Economic analysis tools and management systems
Example of Historical Data
Washington State’s SHSP Target Zero: Using Data to Prioritize Efforts

The role of impairment, speed, and run-off-the-road collisions in 1,725 traffic fatalities in Washington 2006-2008

Impairment deaths 828 (49%)
Impairment 232 (13.4%)
Speeding deaths 693 (40%)
At least one of these factors: Impairment, speeding, or run-off-the-road 1,227 (71%)
Run-off-the-road 722 (42%)
None of these factors: No impairment, no speeding, and no run-off-the-road 498 (29%)

Data source: FARS and WSDOT Collision Database.

Example of Forecasting Tools
North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG): Modeling of Traffic Congestion Levels

Source: NCTCOG.
6. Identify Strategies and Analyze Alternatives (ctd.)

- Scenario planning and analysis – examples:
  - Alternative transportation and land use policies
    - Denver Regional Council of Governments
  - Anticipated performance at a variety of funding levels
    - San Diego Association of Governments
  - Asset management scenarios linking funding and performance
    - Vermont Agency of Transportation:
  - Projected outcomes for baseline, 2035 plan, and aspirational scenarios
    - North Jersey Transportation Planning Authority (NJTPA)
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7. Develop Investment Priorities in the LRTP

- LRTP elements include:
  - Set of goals, performance measures, and desired trends and targets
  - Status report of current conditions
  - Assessment of needs
  - Identification of investment priorities, policies, and strategies

- Primary outputs of LRTP include:
  - Program level investment priorities
  - Major projects or priority corridors for improvement
  - Identification and consensus on expected performance levels
  - Policy level discussion and decisions
  - Stakeholder input that informs development of project selection criteria
Example of LRTP showing Performance Scenarios
Colorado Statewide Transportation Plan

Total Plan Costs 2008-2035

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INVESTMENT SCENARIO</th>
<th>Forecast Revenue</th>
<th>Cost to Sustain Current Performance</th>
<th>Cost to Accomplish Vision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INVESTMENT</td>
<td>$123B</td>
<td>$176B</td>
<td>$&gt;249B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2008 Dollars in Billions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNUAL INVESTMENT (2008 Dollars in Billions)</td>
<td>$4.4B</td>
<td>$6.3B</td>
<td>$9.9B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated 2035 State Highway System Performance Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INVESTMENT SCENARIO</th>
<th>Forecast Revenue</th>
<th>Cost to Sustain Current Performance</th>
<th>Cost to Accomplish Vision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INVESTMENT (2008 Dollars in Billions)</td>
<td>$28B</td>
<td>$64B</td>
<td>$107B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDOT Highway Funds Only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion* (Average minutes of daily delay per traveler in congested corridors)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>&lt;22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Grade</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Condition</td>
<td>25% Good/Fair</td>
<td>60% Good/Fair</td>
<td>75% Good/Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Condition</td>
<td>60% Good/Fair</td>
<td>95% Good/Fair</td>
<td>100% Good/Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety (Fatality Rate per 100M vehicle miles traveled)</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.00**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Congestion is one component of the mobility investment category
**Fatality rate may decrease with the passage of a primary seat belt law
8. Programming – Develop Investment Priorities in the TIP/STIP (ctd.)

• Linking planning to programming remains a challenge for many transportation agencies

• **Effective tools/approaches:**
  – Project prioritization methodologies
  – Asset management plans to help in establishing the link between the LRTP and the STIP
  – Investment plans to identify projects, programs, and strategies at a more detailed level than in the LRTP
8. Programming – Develop Investment Priorities in the TIP/STIP (ctd.)

• Communicating the connections to performance - TIP documents can:
  – Track consistency of projects in the TIP/STIP with investment levels identified in the LRTP
  – Provide qualitative information on the connections between projects and goals or objectives in the LRTP (data field can identify which goals projects support)
  – Identify project scores or rankings in order to select for funding (can include benefit/cost analyses or composite scores)
  – Provide information to enable summaries of projects of different types addressing different factors or goals
  – Include information on the evaluated impacts of projects in terms of performance improvements
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9. On-going Monitoring, Evaluating, and Performance Reporting

• Reasons to monitor and evaluate:
  • Enhance understanding of system performance and which strategies are effective and why
  • Determine whether objectives have been met through target attainment
  • Inform adjustments to projects and programs based on results
  • Support reexamination and refinement of objectives and targets
  • Provide information to calibrate/refine planning tools

• Monitoring vs. Evaluating
  • Monitoring is the process of tracking performance of the system in terms of goals, objectives, and targets set in the planning process
  • Evaluation is the process of interpreting results to understand the impacts that investments and policies have had on performance

• Two levels of evaluation:
  • System level
  • Project- or program-level
9. On-going Monitoring, Evaluating, and Performance Reporting (ctd.)

• Performance journalism involves the combination of quantitative reporting and narrative storytelling. Key principles include:
  • Good writing (clear, concise, jargon-free)
  • Good data
  • Good graphics
  • Good format and presentation
  • Good timing
  • Telling stories

• Reporting tools include performance scorecards, reports, interactive
# Example of Reporting Tools

**WMATA Vital Signs Report**

## Safety

### Employee Injuries
- Employee Injury Rate: 5.50 per 200,000 hours

### Customer Injuries
- Customer Injury Rate: 1.72 per million trips

### Crime Rate
- Bus: 1.41
- Rail: 7.74
- Parking Lots: 0.73

## Quality Service

### On-Time Performance
- Bus: 78.9%
- Rail: 92.2%
- MetroAccess: 92.7%

### Bus Reliability
- MDBF: 9,192 miles between failures

### Railcar Reliability
- MDBD: 69,956 miles between delays > 3 min

### Escalator Availability
- Escalators: 90.7%

### Elevator Availability
- Elevators: 96.8%

### Customer Satisfaction
- Bus: 79%
- Rail: 79%

## Connect Communities

**TBD**

## People and Assets

### Compared to Annual Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>FY 2013 Q2 (Oct - Dec)</th>
<th>Target FY 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expenses on Budget</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Funds Invested</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Positions Filled</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1,778</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information, see: [http://www.wmata.com/about_metro/scorecard/index.cfm](http://www.wmata.com/about_metro/scorecard/index.cfm)
Example of Reporting Tools: Dashboard
VDOT Interactive Online Dashboard

For more information, see http://dashboard.virginiadot.org/.
10. Keys to Success

- Measure what matters
- Select a limited set of measures
- Build on existing performance-based planning processes
- Consider the big picture and tradeoffs
- Coordinate and collaborate across agencies
- Communicate successes and constraints
- Tell a story rather than just releasing data
- PBPP requires dedicated resources
- Consider the role transportation plays in achieving goals in a variety of areas
11/12. Case Studies and Additional Resources

• Case studies:
  • Minnesota Department of Transportation
  • Champaign Urbana Urbanized Area Transportation Study
  • Southeast Michigan Council of Governments
  • Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority

• Additional resources:
  • Glossary (including links to federal resources)
  • References and sources
Contacts for Questions or Comments

- Egan Smith, FHWA
  Egan.Smith@dot.gov
  (202) 366-6072

- Michael Grant, ICF International
  Michael.Grant@icfi.com
  (202) 862-1211